

The 3rd April, 1995

No. 14/13/87-6Lab./478.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s R. P. Hydro Oil Ltd., Ramrai, Distt Jind *versus* Chander Bhan.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI P. L. KHANDUJA, PRESIDING OFFICER,
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

Reference No. 163/1994

between

SHRI CHANDER BHAN, C/O SHRI H. S. LATHAR, WARD NO. 5, JULANA, DISTT. JIND

.. Workman

and

M/S. R. P. HYDRO OIL LTD., RAMRAI, DISTT. JIND.

.. Management

Present:

None for the parties.

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by sub clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana has referred the following dispute, between the parties, named above, to this Court for adjudication,—*vide* Labour Department Endst. No. ID/BHI/166/93/43511—16, dated 4th November, 1993:—

1. Whether the termination of services of Shri Chander Bhan is justified and in order ? If not, to what relief he is entitled ?

2. The case was called several times, but no one is present on behalf of the parties. Hence the reference petition of the workman dismissed in default. The reference is answered and returned accordingly, with no orders as to costs.

P. L. KHANDUJA,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court,
Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 484, dated the 9th March, 1995.

Forwarded (four copies), to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh.

P. L. KHANDUJA,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court,
Rohtak.

No. 14/13/87-6Lab./479.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s R. P. Hydro Oil Ltd., Ramrai district Jind *versus* Jasbir Singh.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI P. L. KHANDUJA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

Reference No. 169/1994

between

SHRI JAIBIR SINGH, C/O SHRI H. S. LATHAR, WARD NO. 5, JULANA,
DISTRICT JIND.

.. Workman

and

M/S R. P. HYDRO OIL LTD., RAMRAI, DISTRICT JIND

.. Management

Present :

None of the parties.

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the parties, named above, to this Court for adjudication,—*vide* Endorsement No. ID/BHI/152/93/43688—93, dated 5th November, 1993 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Jaibir Singh is justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled to ?

2. The case was called several times, but not no one is present on behalf of the parties. Hence the reference petition of the workman is dismissed in default. The reference is answered and returned accordingly, with no orders as to costs.

The 28th February, 1995.

P. L. KHANDUJA,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court,
Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 485, dated the 9th March, 1995.

Forwarded in (four) copies, to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh.

P. L. KHANDUJA,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court,
Rohtak.

No. 14/13/87-6 Lab./480.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s R. P. Hydero Oil Ltd., Ramrai District Jind *versus* Ram Niwash.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI P. L. KHANDUJA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-
CUM-LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

Reference No. 171 of 1994

between

SHRI RAM NIWASH, C/O SHRI H. S. LATHAR, WARD NO. 5, JULANA,
DISTRICT JIND .. *Workman*

and

M/S. R. P. HYDERO OIL LTD., RAMRAI DISTRICT JIND .. *Management*

Present :

None for the parties.

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by sub-clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana has referred the following dispute, between the parties, named above, to this Court for adjudication,—*vide* Labour Department, Endorsement No. ID/BHI/156/93/43494—99, dated the 4th November 1993 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Niwash is justified and in order ? If not, to what relief he is entitled ?

2. The case was called several times, but no one is present on behalf of the parties. Hence, the reference petition of the workman is dismissed in default. The reference is answered and returned accordingly, with no orders as to costs.

P. L. KHANDUJA,

The 28th February, 1995.

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court,
Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 486, dated the 9th March, 1995.

Forwarded (four copies), to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh.

P. L. KHANDUJA,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court,
Rohtak.

No. 14/13/87-6Lab./482.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s R. P. Hydro Oil Ltd., Ramrai, district Jind versus Ramesh Sirivastav.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI P. L. KHANDUJA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

Reference No. 176/1994

between

SHRI RAMESH SIRIVASTAV, C/O SHRI H. S. LATHER, WARD NO. 5, JULANA,
DISTRICT JIND—WORKMAN

and

THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. R. P. HYDRO OIL LTD., RAMRAI, DISTRICT JIND,

Present :

None, for the parties.

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by sub-clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana has referred the following dispute between the parties, named above to this Court for adjudication,—*vide* Labour Department Endorsement No. ID/BHI/163-93/43569—74, dated 4th November, 1993:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ramesh Sirivastav, is justified and in order ? If not, to what relief he is entitled ?

2. The case was called several times, but no one is present on behalf of the parties. Hence the reference petition of the workman is dismissed in default. The reference is answered and returned accordingly with no orders as to costs.

The 28th February, 1995.

P. L. KHANDUJA,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court,
Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 480, dated the 9th March, 1995.

Forwarded (four copies), to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh.

P. L. KHANDUJA,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court,
Rohtak.

The 3rd April, 1995

No. 14/13/87-6Lab./483.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s R. P. Hydero Oil Ltd., Ramrai, district Jind *versus* Sanjay.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI P. L. KHANDUJA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

Reference No. 178/1994

between

**SHRI SANJAY, C/O SHRI H. S. LATHAR, WARD, NO. 5, JULANA,
DISTRICT JIND—WORKMAN**

and

**THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S R. P. HYDERO OIL LTD., RAMRAI,
DISTRICT JIND.**

Present :

None, for the parties.

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by sub-clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana has referred the following dispute, between the parties, named above, to this Court for adjudication,—*vide* Labour Department Endorsement No. ID/BHI/153/93/43581—36, dated the 4th November, 1993 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Sanjay is justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

2. The case was called several times, but no one is present on behalf of the parties. Hence the reference petition of the workman is dismissed in default. The reference is answered and returned accordingly with no orders as to costs.

P. L. KHANDUJA,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court,
Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 481, dated the 9th March, 1995.

Forwarded (four copies), to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh.

P. L. KHANDUJA,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court,
Rohtak.